Charter Revision Commission Meeting – 10-28-2026

The Board rejected the idea of a recreation pass because "fee [would be] difficult to calculate and do not address compliance."

- Many municipalities issue recreation/beach passes, so it can't be that difficult to determine a fee.
- How will compliance be enforced for the properties that don't opt in?

One of the reasons given by the Board to expand to include the properties is "why should today's owners of *contiguous properties accessed by roads within the Association's existing boundaries* be disadvantaged by decisions made nearly a century ago?"

- That is simply the nature of purchasing property. You know what you're buying, when you buy.
- Doesn't this statement of being "disadvantaged" create an issue for this being "a one-time offer"? It sets a precedent that could be used against us in the future.
- If the owners of the 22 and 25 acre properties adjacent to the clubhouse were to acquire a property on Woodland, Park Court or Billow to create a driveway, how can anyone state, that they couldn't have an argument to be treated the same as the 13 properties, because they would now meet the same criteria?

Respectfully submitted,
Sharon S. Bruce

I hope to participate in the Zoom but if I can't get in, I would like them read into the minutes. I was unable to get into the BOG Zoom last week.